



THE INFLUENCE OF ALGORITHMS AND ANALYTES ON THE DETECTION OF CONGENITAL HYPOTHYROIDISM

Patricia L. Hall, PhD, FACMG

XII Congress of the Latin American Society for Inborn Errors of Metabolism
and Newborn Screening
May 6, 2022

I have no conflicts of interest related
to this material.

AREAS OF EMPHASIS

- Congenital hypothyroidism (CH) in newborn screening
- Harmonization in NBS (generally and CH specific)
- Common algorithms
- The role of case definitions

CONGENITAL HYPOTHYROIDISM IN NEWBORN SCREENING

- Newborn screening (NBS):
 - Public health program designed to identify infants with treatable conditions before symptoms are evident and before irreversible damage has occurred
 - Number of conditions included varies by region, as do algorithms for screening, follow-up protocols and access to specialists / treatment options
- Congenital hypothyroidism (CH):
 - Partial or complete loss of thyroid function from birth
 - 80 – 85 % caused by thyroid dysgenesis
 - Remainder caused by low or absent hormone production
 - Untreated can cause intellectual disability, slow growth
 - Treatment: replacement of thyroid hormone, usually lifelong
- Incidence: varies widely – seems to be increasing

HARMONIZATION EFFORTS IN NBS

- General agreement on “good” for NBS, but “perfect” might not exist
 - MCAD deficiency – low-cost intervention, high effectiveness, high impact, good test (expensive to start with MS/MS)
 - Sickle cell disease – high frequency, good test, treatment can reduce morbidity and mortality (can be access issues)
 - Congenital hypothyroidism – widely available intervention, high effectiveness (testing specificity an issue)
- Even when conditions are agreed upon – the “how” is often not standardized
 - Recommended Uniform Screening Panel (RUSP) in US harmonizes recommendations across the country, but final decisions and implementation are done at the state level

WHY IS HARMONIZATION DIFFICULT?

- Regionalization of testing
- Incidence of rare targeted conditions
- Methodology variations
- Contracting and budgets
- Local regulations and resources



LABORATORY TESTING FOR CH

- Screening:
 - Measurement of TSH and/or T4 from dried blood spot
- Confirmation:
 - Serum TSH
 - Additional studies may be required to uncover etiology – treatment can start based on initial abnormal test results
- Seems straightforward, how many variations could there be?
- Prime target for harmonization

KNOWN NBS ALGORITHMS



TSH only

Screen is abnormal if TSH is elevated, no T4 measurement



T4 reflex TSH

TSH is measured if T4 is low; screen is abnormal if TSH is elevated



T4 and TSH

Screen is abnormal if T4 is low or TSH is high (reported independently)



Small babies

In addition to lab algorithm – account for delayed TSH rise

GEORGIA NBS ALGORITHM

- Georgia algorithm:
 - NBS is abnormal if TSH is elevated (8 age based cutoffs) **OR** T4 is decreased (3 age & weight based categories)
 - Reported independently of each other
 - For LBW (< 2500 g) babies – multiple screen protocol recommends 3 screens (one prior to transfusion, one @ ~ 48 hours, one @ 28 DOL or NICU discharge) – these can each be reported out independently
 - Follow-up protocols vary based on age, value of lab result, clinical status of baby
- Screen is closed as normal / abnormal / lost to follow up based on laboratory findings and provider report

THREE POTENTIAL CASES

IMPACT OF ALGORITHM ON INCIDENCE

GA NBS Laboratory

- Isolated low T4
- Elevated TSH on second screen (BW = 2250 g)
- Provider reports CH vs transient hypothyroidism of prematurity

TSH only; LBW < 2000 g

- Not detected by TSH only screen
- No routine second screen
- Provider reports transient hypothyroidism of prematurity; program does not count as CH

+3

WHAT IS THE REAL WORLD IMPACT?

OVERTREATMENT VS UNDERDIAGNOSIS

- Regions that screen using T4 and TSH independently of each other report higher incidence of CH
- Regions that use a reflex strategy or TSH are not reporting a high burden of untreated CH manifesting clinically
- How can these two situations be reconciled?
 - Overtreatment?
 - Underdiagnosis?
 - Both?
 - Neither?

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Challenges

- Variations in screening strategy make comparisons difficult
- Outcomes reported are short term only
 - the immediate outcome of screen + follow-up
- Is there a gold standard?

Opportunities

- Apple to apple comparisons only
- Investment in case definitions and long-term follow-up
- Active monitoring for missed cases or suboptimal outcomes

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Georgia Department of Public Health NBS Laboratory
- Mayo Clinic Biochemical Genetics Laboratory
- Organizing Committee

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

